

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE V.GIRI

FRIDAY, THE 19TH OCTOBER 2007 / 27TH ASWINA 1929

WP(C).No. 31036 of 2007(T)

PETITIONER:

BABY PUSHPALATHA M., CASHIER,
ELECTRICAL SECTION, VELLANGALLUR,
IRINJALAKUDA.
(UNDER ORDERS OF TRANSFER AS CASHIER ELECTRICAL
CIRCLE, TRIVANDRUM).

BY ADV. SRI.S.RAMESH BABU

RESPONDENTS:

1. THE KERALA STATE ELECTRICITY BOARD,
REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY,
VYDHYUTHI BHAVANAM, PATTOM, TRIVANDRUM.
2. THE CHIEF ENGINEER (HRM),
KERALA STATE ELECTRICITY BOARD,
VYDHUTHI BHAVANAM, PATTOM, TRIVANDRUM.
3. THE DEPUTY CHIEF ENGINEER,
ELECTRICAL CIRCLE, IRINJALAKUDA.
4. THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,
ELECTRICAL DIVISION,
IRINJALAKUDA.

BY SC SRI.K.S.ANIL

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 19/10/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:

V. GIRI ,J.

WP(C).NO.31036 of 2007

Dated this the 19th day of October, 2007

JUDGMENT

The petitioner is a Cashier, in the Kerala State Electricity Board. She belongs to Thiruvananthapuram District. While in service at Vellangallur in Thrissur District, on her request, she was transferred to the Electrical Circle, Thiruvananthapuram (Urban) as per Ext.P1 order dated 28.4.2007. Petitioner's grievance seems to be that in spite of almost six months from the date of Ext.P1, she is not relieved from there. Though the petitioner submitted Ext.P3 seeking a relief from Vellangallur on 5.5.2007 and the same has been recommended by the Assistant Engineer and the Assistant Executive Engineer at Vellangallur, she has not been relieved so far, counsel for the petitioner contends.

2. I have heard the learned Standing Counsel for the Kerala State Electricity Board also.

3. Learned counsel for the Board submits that the petitioner will be relieved from Vellangallur, as early as possible and the delay is caused only due to the absence of a substitute. He nevertheless undertakes to see that the petitioner is relieved within a maximum period of six weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment.

In the facts and circumstances of the case, this writ petition is disposed of directing the second respondent to pass appropriate orders to see that the petitioner is relieved from Vellangallur so that she is able to take charge in the Thiruvananthapuram Circle(Urban) pursuant to Ext.P1, as early as possible, at any rate, within a period of one month from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment.

V. GIRI, JUDGE

css/